



MEDIA AND PUBLIC INTEREST IN THE ERA OF WEB ANALYTICS: A CASE STUDY OF TWO SPANISH LEADING NEWSPAPERS

Medios e interés público en la era de la analítica web: un caso de estudio de dos de los principales diarios españoles



Santiago Justel-Vázquez, Josep-Lluís Micó-Sanz and Guillem Sánchez-Marín



Santiago Justel-Vázquez is a professor at the *Universitat Internacional de Catalunya* and at the *Blanquerna School of Communication and International Relations of Universitat Ramon Llull (URL)*. He received his PhD in communication from the *URL* with a dissertation on media agenda and public interest. As a journalist he has been editor of the technology channel at *Lavanguardia.com*, where he currently works as a collaborator.
<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8068-6222>

santiagojv@blanquerna.url.edu



Josep-Lluís Micó-Sanz is a professor, chair of Journalism at the *Universitat Ramon Llull*. He is the academic vice dean of the *Blanquerna School of Communication and International Relations*, where he directs the degree in Journalism, the *Master's in Advanced Journalism Blanquerna-Grupo Godó*, the *Master's in Fashion Communication Blanquerna-080 Barcelona Fashion*, and the *Master's in Sport Communication Blanquerna - FC Barcelona*. He works as a technology analyst in media for *La Vanguardia (Lavanguardia.com)* and *Radio Nacional de España-Ràdio 4*.
<http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1191-226X>

joseplluisms@blanquerna.url.edu



Guillem Sánchez-Marín is a journalist specializing in police issues for the newspaper *El periódico de Catalunya*. He worked for seven years as a journalist of society in the *Catalan News Agency (ACN)*. He has a PhD in communication with an international mention from the *Universitat Ramon Llull (URL)* because of an investigation focused on multi-skilling in *ACN*, *Europa Press*, *EFE*, *ANSA*, and *AFP*. As a teacher, he has worked for four years at the *Universitat Oberta de Catalunya (UOC)* and for five years at the *Blanquerna School of Communication and International Relations (URL)*.
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8890-5522>

guillemsm0@blanquerna.url.edu

*Universitat Ramon Llull, Facultat de Comunicació i Relacions Internacionals Blanquerna
Plaça Joan Coromines, s/n. 08001 Barcelona, Spain*

Abstract

The labels “The most viewed”, “The most shared”, and “The most commented” are examples of the logic from web analytics tools in an information ecosystem where the audience has acquired an enormous role because it is now possible to quantify more precisely than ever before. In this context, the present investigation focuses on how the digital media agenda is currently being constructed in relation to the increasing importance given to pageview statistics. The implications of this new scenario for our society as a whole will be analyzed. This research takes the form of a case study of two of Spain’s leading newspapers, *Lavanguardia.com* and *Elperiodico.com*, using content analysis and in-depth interviews with working professionals. The aim of the research is to attempt to answer the following questions: How relevant is public interest news? How much importance is accorded to anecdotal and viral content?

Keywords

Journalism; Agenda; Democracy; Internet; Digital media; Web analytics; Audiences; Public interest.

Manuscript received on 19-08-2016

Accepted on 27-09-2016

Resumen

Las etiquetas “Lo más visto”, “Lo más compartido” y “Lo más comentado” son ejemplos de la lógica que las herramientas de analítica web imponen a los medios digitales en un ecosistema informativo en el que la audiencia, que hoy se puede cuantificar más al detalle que nunca, ha adquirido un protagonismo enorme. En este contexto, el presente artículo se centra en estudiar cómo se construye hoy la agenda de los medios en relación con la creciente importancia dada a las estadísticas de páginas vistas. SE analizan las implicaciones de este nuevo escenario en nuestras sociedades democráticas. Esta investigación toma la forma de un caso de estudio sobre dos de los principales diarios españoles, *Lavanguardia.com* y *Elperiodico.com*, recurriendo al análisis de contenido y a entrevistas en profundidad con profesionales en activo. El objetivo es dar respuesta a la siguiente pregunta: ¿qué peso tiene el interés público en las noticias y el contenido viral o anecdótico que se propaga en la web?

Palabras clave

Periodismo; Agenda; Democracia; Internet; Medios digitales; Analítica web; Audiencias; Interés público.

Justel-Vázquez, Santiago; Micó-Sanz, Josep-Lluís; Sánchez-Marín, Guillem (2016). “Media and public interest in the era of web analytics: A case study of two Spanish leading newspapers”. *El profesional de la información*, v. 25, n. 6, pp. 859-868.

<https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2016.nov.03>

1. Introduction

The seeds of this research were planted back in the beginning of 2010. It arose from the new presence on newspaper web sites of tables or sections making reference to “The most viewed”, “The most commented”, or “The most shared”, and of labels such as “Popular” and “Trend”. Such labels are an example of the essence and logic that the internet imposes in online media.

What is the digital media agenda? How are the statistics obtained from web analysis influencing the exercise of journalism, which at the same time finds itself being conditioned by the economic crisis and the transformation of its organizational model? What importance is accorded to the seemingly increasingly frequent banal and anecdotal content? This research aims to provide answers, at least in part, to these questions by means of a case study into the two leading Spanish newspapers based in Catalonia.

1.1. Literature review

The scope of this article and the tension that exists between the commercial and professional poles of the media is not new to journalism (Sparrow, 1999, p. 74). The press first had to achieve enough freedom to be independent of political power, the close monitoring of which is an important role assigned to newspapers since the beginning by democratic theory (Jefferson, 1984; De-Tocqueville, 2000). This was followed by the progressive institutionalization and integration of the increasingly large mass media companies within the economic structure of society. This posed a threat that was not foreseen from a theoretical perspective: the commercial aspect of newspapers conditioning the work of journalists. This phenomenon raised concerns starting early in the twentieth century and has remained a part of the debate about the media in contemporary democracies. Concern about this issue became the basis for socially responsible media theory (Siebert; Peterson; Schramm, 1963).

With the advent of the internet and in the context of an economic crisis which is especially acute in the media, the

commercial pressure seems even greater on a sector that has witnessed the gradual disappearance of its traditional business model and has yet failed to encounter any alternatives. Thus it becomes crucial to consider aspects such as the economy of the media (Bettig; Hall, 2002; Hoskins; McFadyen; Finn, 2004) and the intersection between the press, the market, and democracy (McChesney; Wood; Foster, 1998; McChesney, 1999; 2000; Baker, 2002; 2006; Almirón, 2010; Fenton, 2014).

The current research draws on the works of authors such as Lippmann (1995; 1997) and McCombs (2004) in addressing many of the issues related with the composition of the media agenda and more specifically with the way in which this agenda becomes the public agenda.

Newspapers, television, and news websites provide the public with clues about the relevance accorded to different themes.

“Over time, the issues emphasized in news reports become the issues regarded as most important among the public. The agenda of the news media becomes, to a considerable degree, the agenda of the public” (McCombs, 2004, p. 2).

By accepting that the public agenda is influenced to a greater or lesser degree by the media agenda, the journalistic selection process is given the responsibility to demonstrate concern for that which is relevant to society. If communication creates a community (Dewey, 1966), the health of the latter depends on the quality of the former.

Immersing oneself in the realm of this agenda invites one to also enter the complex realm of the processes by which public opinion is formed (Childs, 1962; Habermas, 1990; Noelle-Neumann, 1986) and to review the criteria for newsworthiness (Warren, 1959; Tuchman, 1978).

A media agenda dominated by banal themes would result in an impoverished public debate that is also dominated by banal themes. This research takes as its starting point the concern arising from such a scenario, in which the combina-

tion of the media's economic problems and the possibilities offered by web analytics displace public interest and favor the primacy of commercial criteria. The public interest (Croteau; Hoynes, 2001; Downs, 1962; McQuail, 1998) is one of the concepts on which this research rests and which emanates from the political condition of the person when they form part of a community.

“A media agenda dominated by banal themes would result in an impoverished public debate that is also dominated by banal themes”

No one questions the usefulness of web analytics for business, but its application to journalism, because of journalism's direct connection with the public interest, is more complex. In the field of marketing the objectives of web analytics are clear: the numbers, data, statistics, and analysis provide a sound basis for decision making (Kaus-hik, 2010, p. 11). However, in the field of journalism the concept becomes “muddy and contentious” (Tandoc; Thomas, 2015, p. 244), as it has the ability to tip the balance in the profession between its public service role and its role as a medium for advertising. It does not seem realistic to hope that the media will turn their backs on the reality and logic that prevail on the internet if they want to ensure their survival. But on the other hand, due to its social function the newspaper business cannot be equated to any other.

This study aims to outline the composition of the digital media. Additionally, it aims to measure the contribution of the media to the quality of the public debate, as they are one of our societies' main discussion forums.

Recent publications focusing on the disruption brought about by the arrival of web analytics in newsrooms and the implications of this (Tandoc; Thomas, 2014; Tandoc, 2014) with regard to new ways of consuming information (Olmstead; Mitchell; Rosenstiel, 2011), the divergence between the choices made by journalists and those made by the audience (Boczkowski; Peer, 2011), SEO tools and indicators (García-Carretero et al., 2016), or on media activity in social networking sites (Lasorsa; Lewis; Holton, 2011; Holcomb; Gross; Mitchell, 2011; Oriella PR Network, 2012; Broersma; Graham, 2013; Doval, 2014) have confirmed the need for the research carried out over recent years into this very current issue.

There are studies that corroborate the approach proposed in the current article, such as that conducted by Curran et al. (2009), which was guided by the hypothesis that a media system

based on the market, offering softer news rather than hard news impedes the public from exercising their right to be informed.

2. Methodology

The current research employs case study methodology in order to contribute to an emerging area of study. It focuses on the online edition of the two main Spanish newspapers based in Barcelona: *Lavanguardia.com* and *Elperiodico.com*.

“No one questions the usefulness of web analytics for business, but its application to journalism with its direct connection with the public interest is more complex”

A similar study focused on any other media reality would make a good subject for future research. The research employed qualitative techniques (Taylor; Bogdan, 1998) including interviews, a participant and non-participant observation period, and an exploratory web analysis. The combination of different techniques “opens up enormous opportunities for mutual advantages in each of three major phases-design, data collection, and analysis” (Sieber, 1973, p. 1337) and results in an investigation that is both deeper and clearer.

Several previous investigations such as that carried out by Parasie and Dagiral (2013) based on a combination of interviews and content analysis; or those by Domingo, Masip, and Micó-Sanz (2008); or Singer (2004) employing methodological triangulation have served as a foun-

Screenshot of the homepage of www.elperiodico.com. Mix of hard news and anecdotal content. March 20th, 2013.

dition for the selection of these methods for the current research.

2.1. Interviews

Semi-structured in depth interviews (Berger, 2000; Wimmer; Dominick, 2011) were developed from questionnaires that included some specific questions related to respondents' posts and also took into account further issues that arose from the answers obtained. The interview questions concern, among other issues, the criteria of newsworthiness, the changes in work routines introduced as a result of the internet, the perception of and interaction with the audience, business models in the digital environment, and the role of the press at the current time.

“The anecdotal triumphs more easily among the most viewed. And this feedback on the public interest received by the media might then condition the professional criteria employed when selecting and prioritizing the news”

A total of 10 interviews were conducted (in November and December, 2015) with directors of the newspapers that form the focus of this study; middle management (section heads and copy editors); editors; trainee editors; and other specific job profiles, such as the head of web analytics, in an attempt to cover practically all the profiles present in a newsroom.

2.2. Content analysis

The work of several authors who employ these methods in the field of communications (Berger, 2000; Wrench *et al.*, 2008; Wimmer; Dominick, 2011; Krippendorff, 2012) and some studies focusing on online media (Jones, 1998; Tempilar, 2001) were taken as a reference in the planning and execution of the content analysis of the present investigation.

The web page analysis was based on an in-depth study of four daily screen grabs of the home page of the online newspapers. To this end a check list was completed for each of the screen grabs.

Two samples were completed in March, 2013 (from 18th to 22th) and in October, 2014 (from 3rd to 10th), making a total of 47 screen grabs analyzed.

Three modes of analysis were established for each of the points in time in which screen grabs were taken:

- The contents of the “Most viewed” list
- The news items present in the first scroll or home screen
- The quantification and classification of all information from the web.

The objective was to observe the composition and structure of the digital editions of the selected newspapers paying particular attention to aspects such as the degree of public interest of the news content. This was carried out by means of investigating both the distribution and hierarchy of the news items, the choice of front cover topics and contrasting them with the “Most viewed” ranking.

3. Results

The most valuable results are obtained from the comparison of the results of the three analyses, enriched in the next section with quotes obtained from the interviews.

The tables 1 and 2 (at the end of the document) demonstrate the most significant results.

3.1. Classification categories

Three categories were created to classify the news items with respect to their degree of relationship with the public interest. Drawing from a pilot exploratory analysis (sample zero), a scale of 1 to 3 was established, with 1 indicating the highest link with the public interest and 3 the lowest.

The criteria included to establish a classification method for this investigation were as follows; newsworthiness (Warren, 1975; Tuchman, 1983), the classical distinction inherited from Anglo-Saxon journalism of hard news and soft news and also the definitions of public interest and the public sphere:

Category 1. Hard news

Category 2. Intermediate news: This category includes contents that are not essential to the functioning of a democratic society but which may include many incidents or events considered equally relevant to the general public or to a specific public. They represent the news items that are neither considered hard news nor tabloid or anecdotal news.

Category 3. Sensational or anecdotal news: It includes



Screenshot of the homepage of www.lavanguardia.com. Mix of hard news and anecdotal content. December 18th, 2013.

news items or videos that proliferate on the internet and spread virally on social networking sites with no connection with the public interest and whose function is to entertain or elicit emotions.

3.2. The relevant and the anecdotal

Category 3 content (tables 1 and 2) represents between 5% and 9.35%, depending on the newspaper and the sample, of all the content present on the cover page, while they represent between 15.6% and 28.4% of the “Most viewed” list. These results demonstrate that they have a higher success rate than corresponds to their numerical share, if it were the case that all content types had the same rate of success.

These news items are not found in either of the print editions of these newspapers and are clearly aimed at attracting traffic, which they do. Furthermore, they do so without requiring a special presence on the cover page. Generally, newspapers do not highlight this content at the top of their cover pages. Their presence on the home page measured between 0% and 3% of the content, except on one occasion (11% of the first sample for *Elperiodico.com*). The print newspapers do publish anecdotal or lurid tabloid news, but such items often occupy discrete positions in the middle or toward the end of the second column.

It doesn't seem that quality digital newspapers are currently covering important issues in the form of entertainment, but the custom of offering their audience relevant issues surrounded by banalities might create the same effect

That is, based on their professional criteria the newspapers prioritize news items that are less successful than others, in some cases totally trivial items, that are consumed by the audience in greater measure.

Of the total, the contents of category 1 and 2 represent an average of 95% and 90.65% respectively of the total content of the cover pages in the first and second samples taken from *Lavanguardia.com*, the percentages that provide a picture of their presence in the “Most viewed” list are 71.5% and 77.6% respectively. Thus it could be said they are underrepresented in this list. In the case of *Elperiodico.com* the combined sum of the contents of categories 1 and 2 present on all cover pages represent an average of 91.5% and 93% of the total, while the importance accorded to them in the list of most popular content is 82% and 84.5% respectively. They are therefore underrepresented, as in the case of *Lavanguardia.com*, although to a lesser extent.

3.3. Professional judgment and the public interest

Interestingly, the third column of tables 1 and 2 (that of the percentage represented by each category on the home page) can be interpreted as being the reflection of the judgment of the editor in chief or the chief of digital content who organizes the most relevant content, and the second column, that of the percentage represented by each cate-

LO MÁS VISTO	
1	Hallan al animal más viejo del mundo, 507 años, pero lo matan al investigarlo
2	Un neonazi descubre en televisión sus orígenes negros
3	Los Simpsons viajan por la Barcelona de Gaudí
4	Multitudinario falso orgasmo femenino en un bar de EE.UU.
5	El informe previo a la autopsia determina que la muerte de Benítez fue un homicidio

Screenshot of the most viewed news list on *www.lavanguardia.com*. 21:42 hrs, November 15th, 2013.

gory in the “Most viewed” list, can be interpreted as being a demonstration of audience interest. The percentage represented by each category on the home page could be considered a weighting of two elements, the criterion of the editor in chief with respect to what content is considered to be newsworthy enough to be put on the home page of the digital newspaper and information about what news items work in terms of attracting traffic obtained from web analytics tools.

The anecdotal triumphs more easily among the most viewed. And this feedback on the public interest received by the media might then condition the professional criteria employed when selecting and prioritizing the news.

The fact that this imbalance (the underrepresentation of the relevant in the “Most viewed” list) is not excessive permits us to affirm that the relevant channels traffic while also questioning the need to gamble on the anecdotal. It is clear that the anecdotal channels traffic, but how does it affect brand image? Not knowing the answer requires a cautious approach, one that is not always taken by the media. The journalists interviewed for the purposes of this research consider that professional judgment continues to take precedence. The results of the web analysis agree with this idea, constructing cover pages built primarily around content of public interest and issues that meet the criteria of newsworthiness. In the first sample, on average 94.4% of the content on the cover page of *Lavanguardia.com* conform to these criteria (49.65% were category 1 and 44.75% were category 2), and of the second sample 90.65% (46.35% in category 1 and 44.3% in category 2) do. In *Elperiodico.com*

91.45% in the first sample (41.5% were category 1 and 49.95% were category 2) and 93.2% in the second sample (47.5% were category 1 and 45.95% were category 2) conform to these criteria.

Figures from the analysis of the total composition of the cover and the home page still reveal a primacy of contents from categories 1 and 2. Sensational, macabre, or banal items that belong in category 3 are popular and represent between 15 and 28% of the items on the “Most viewed”



Anecdotal content published on www.elperiodico.com. June 4th, 2014.

list and their presence across the newspaper web sites is not insignificant. They represent between 5 and 10% of all news published. The anecdotal is no longer an anecdote.

The publication of these types of content, regardless of the role they are given, is a clear sign that the quantitative is currently very relevant with regard to the mainstream newspapers studied. Regular observation, such as that carried out for the quantitative analysis of this investigation, reveals that there is also a considerable amount of news content of the first order that dominates the top of the popular news rankings. This seems logical, after all, it is to be expected that readers turn to the media for information.

4. Discussion

In the editorial offices of the newsrooms no one doubts that quality can reap many page views, but they affirm that it can sometimes be disheartening to see the “Most viewed” list. According to some of the professionals interviewed, various newspapers have opted to modify the way the most viewed list is composed in order to filter the content of some sections; in this way preventing anecdotal content that the newspaper considers to be incompatible with its brand slipping in to the rankings. Another newspaper plans to directly delete such content. As previously mentioned, newspapers are a bit ashamed of this content, but are unwilling to give such content up as they are a rich source of traffic.

The perception of journalists that the anecdotal and banal succeed more easily than the relevant may condition the way in which certain themes are selected in the future. Category 3 themes work more easily and also generally require much less time and effort to produce. One of the editors interviewed complained that an in-depth report, drawn up after consulting various experts was less likely to succeed than an item that simply contains a striking image or viral video, with such content frequently getting a huge number of page views. An item showing the latest suggestive photo of a celebrity or a funny clip of animals can reap many of the page views received by a section in any one day; they are a good source of traffic and can be edited in a few minutes, with hardly any investment of resources

4.1. The banal and brand prestige

Due to its dynamic nature, the internet requires a degree of continuous learning. Digital journalism has its own distinguishing features and characteristics, widely studied during the last decade (Masip *et al.*, 2010). But

“the ‘know how’ of online journalism is a task that gets updated on daily experience” (García-Avilés, 2014, p. 268).

In this way, the media tends to speak of itself as making many mistakes: “not everything is done well”, “the balance to which we aspire is not always achieved”, or “sometimes content is published which should not have been given space”.

The need for income forces all kinds of formulas to be tested. Such formulas include the publication of content that has gone viral on social networking sites, or items of questionable newsworthiness, or even veracity, but which are exciting or strange. As demonstrated in the analysis of the total content of the cover page this content represents between 5 and 10% of the news items of the newspapers studied, leading to the question: Does the publication of such content result in an erosion of the prestige of the title’s brand? In the words of the sub-director of one of the newspapers analyzed, it is an issue that “worries and occupies the media”.

“It has become common to see the more anecdotal news items coexisting with topics of maximum interest”

A long-term strategy of *infotainment* distances interested viewers and destroys the authority of the news media that opts for it in order to publish serious news (Kovach; Rosenstiel, 2007, p. 194). It doesn’t seem that quality digital newspapers are currently covering important issues in the form of entertainment, but the custom of offering their audience relevant issues surrounded by banalities might create the same effect.

The anecdotal creates traffic, about 30% of the most viewed news items are about the media itself and up to 100% in some specific samples are Category 3 items and therefore bring in money through advertising. Newspapers are reluc-

tant to give up this income. Yet the continuous adaptation required by the internet has awakened the fear that such a mixture of banal content might undermine the prestige of the brand.

Mixing the banal with the relevant is a new cocktail for the traditional media. The best formula to mitigate possible adverse effects should be explored. The creation of specific and differentiated channels to house such content would provide an alternative that avoids damaging the brand with the publication of anecdotal or viral content. A second alternative coming from newsrooms is to “do the anecdotal well”, although it is argued that failure to do so is also, in many cases, “a problem of resources”. In another journalistic setting with a higher budget, or more time, the results would be better.

“A further option for the future, beyond a rethinking of the media model, would be to use market research and web analytics to apply professional standards with greater judgement instead of using it to replace them”

The poor economic situation the majority of the media finds itself in seems to be one of the major conditions for a strong commitment to quality. Directors claim to be clear that when there is a conflict between producing traffic and creating *engagement*, it is necessary to be intelligent enough to go with the latter. On a day to day basis, with the pressure on time and ever increasing multiplication of tasks in the precarious context of the profession, there is little room in the newsroom for reflection on the decisions taken. Whilst the media gets by in an uncertain present they cross thresholds that previously qualified as undesirable. The impact on the prestige of the newspaper's brand is difficult to calculate but the reaction of some readers in the form of comments provides evidence that the brand is indeed being injured.

4.2. The search for balance

Public interest or audience interest?

“Thus the journalist's task is to find the way to make the significant interesting in each story and to find the right mix of the serious and the less serious that offers an account of the day” (Kovach; Rosenstiel, 2007, p. 189).

In reality there is a wide gap between the soft news, with all its human interest content that remains relevant and which is present in a large number of sections, and those news items that are anecdotal and irrelevant. Similarly, there is a full range of intermediate positions between the belief that the audience is everything and the paper turning its back on the numbers. Thus, another word repeated frequently during interviews is balance. But is this balance achieved? “It is aspired to but not always achieved”. The most viewed is weighted against the editorial line, but sometimes the temptation to publish ‘UFO's’, as anecdotal

news or ‘strange events’ as they are called in the *Elperiodico.com* newsroom, is irresistible as they are a reliable source of traffic. *Lavanguardia.com* explains that some sections that are important but costly to maintain and unprofitable in terms of page views, such as the International section, are financed by other softer but more successful content.

In his investigation, Tandoc (2014) speaks of the balance between pursuing quick revenue and accomplishing what is expected from a respected news organization, and concludes that the majority of the time the balance achieved is far from ideal and, is often tipped towards the goal of increasing traffic using web analytics to propose stories that reap a large number of clicks.

Many of the phenomena discussed in this article need to be framed within the context of the search for a business model. That the media are prepared to be flexible with regard to their traditional approach in order to give more space to anecdotal or sensational content that easily goes viral can be linked to the need to achieve good economic results that help them keep their heads above water. As a result, the media sticks to what they know, advertising, and despite the fact that they are bringing in much less income than they did with print newspapers, advertising remains their most secure revenue source. Internet advertising is generally measured for the time being in volume of page views. Thus, the influence of the quantitative, of the data about the number of readers for each item and of visitors who pass through the web in a day or in a month is inevitable.

5. Conclusion

It has become common to see the more anecdotal news items coexisting with topics of maximum interest. Society is becoming used to living with this and to reading diagonally when searching for what is relevant among the secondary items.

“There is a growing consensus among media scholars and analysts that the news has ‘gone soft’” (Boczkowski; Peer, 2011, p. 859).

The results of the web analysis serve to put figures on some aspects of these phenomena. Between one and two of every twenty items of content (between 5 and 10%) that are present on the cover pages of the digital media analyzed are of an anecdotal or sensationalistic nature. Their presence in the most viewed list is greater (between 15.6% and 28%). Their weight on social networking sites (the percentage they represent of the total content published by the newspapers on these platforms) has not been measured in this study, but at first glance seems clearly superior. This might prove an interesting subject of research for the future.

Good journalism has always been subsidized (Anderson; Bell; Shirky, 2012). As with the emergence in the first half of the 20th century of social responsibility theory that had journalism starting to demand the independence of the newspapers from the interests of economic and also, of course, of political power, such formulas (McChesney, 1999; Curran, 2002) may also be necessary now. If we accept the benefits of democracy

despite its imperfections over any other alternative form of government (Dahl, 1998), we must furnish it with the mechanisms to allow it to work as closely as possible to the ideal model. Regulation should always be at the service of the common good. Although it is necessary to raise this issue, it falls beyond the scope of the current research.

A further option for the future, beyond a rethinking of the media model, would be to use market research and web analytics to apply professional standards with greater judgment instead of using it to replace them. In this way we would take advantage of information gathered from the

audience by bringing the readers, listeners, or viewers closer, as demonstrated in the research referred to by Kovach and Rosenstiel (2007, p. 236). A shift from page views to other indicators of reader attention (Chartbeat, 2015) would also be a good starting point.

Acknowledgments

This work is part of the project *Información política, Twitter y democracia: El periodismo en el entorno de los medios sociales* (Plan Nacional de I+D+i. CSO2014-52283-C2-2-P), Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness.

Table 1. Results of the comparative analysis for *Lavanguardia.com*

Category contents	Percentage of total space on the cover represented by each category	Percentage represented by each category in the "Most viewed" list	Percentage represented by each category on the home page
First sample			
Category 1 contents	50	37	82
Category 2 contents	45	35	18
Total of contents 1+2	95	72	100
Category 3 contents	5	28	0
Second sample			
Category 1 contents	46,35	48	76
Category 2 contents	44,3	30	22
Total of contents 1+2	90,65	78	98
Category 3 contents	9,35	22	2

Table 2. Results of the comparative analysis for *Elperiodico.com*

Category contents	Percentage of total space on the cover represented by each category	Percentage represented by each category in the "Most viewed" list	Percentage represented by each category on the home page
First sample			
Category 1 contents	41.5	39.0	60.0
Category 2 contents	50.0	43.0	29.0
Total of contents 1+2	91.5	82.0	89.0
Category 3 contents	8.5	18.0	11.0
Second sample			
Category 1 contents	47.0	52.5	72.5
Category 2 contents	46.0	32.0	24.5
Total of contents 1+2	93.0	84.5	97.0
Category 3 contents	7.0	15.5	3.0

6. References

Almirón, Nuria (2010). *Journalism in crisis: Corporate media and financialization*. New Jersey: Hampton Press. ISBN: 978 1 572739802

Anderson, Chris W.; Bell, Emily; Shirky, Clay (2012). *Post-industrial journalism: Adapting to the present: A report*. Columbia: Columbia Journalism School. <http://towcenter.org/research/post-industrial-journalism-adapting-to-the-present-2>

Baker, C. Edwin (2002). *Media, markets, and democracy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. ISBN: 978 0521009775

Baker, C. Edwin (2006). *Media concentration and democracy: Why ownership matters*. Cambridge University Press. ISBN: 978 0521687881

Berger, Arthur A. (2000). *Media and communication research methods: An introduction to qualitative and quantitative approaches*. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc. ISBN: 0761918531

- Bettig, Ronald V.; Hall, Jeanne** (2002). *Big media, big money: Cultural texts and political economics*. Lanham, Maryland: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers. ISBN: 978 0742511309
- Boczkowski, Pablo J.; Peer, Limor** (2011). "The choice gap: The divergent online news preferences of journalists and consumers". *Journal of communication*, v. 61, n. 5, pp. 857-876.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2011.01582.x>
- Broersma, Marcel; Graham, Todd** (2013). "Twitter as a news source: How Dutch and British newspapers used tweets in their news coverage". *Journalism practice*, v. 7, n. 4.
http://www.rug.nl/research/portal/files/12824342/Broersma_and_Graham_2013.pdf
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17512786.2013.802481>
- Chartbeat (2015). *The battle for attention. Why publishers are killing pageviews to capitalize on reader attention*. Chartbeat
http://lp.chartbeat.com/rs/062-HAC-076/images/attention_white_paper.pdf
- Childs, Harwood L.** (1965). *Public opinion: Nature, formation, and role*. Princeton, New Jersey: Van Nostrand. ISBN: 978 0442015299
- Croteau, David R.; Hoynes, William D.** (2001). *The business of media: Corporate media and the public interest*. Thousand Oaks, California: Pine Forge Press. ISBN: 978 0761986409
- Curran, James** (2002). *Media and power*. London: Routledge. ISBN: 978 0415077408
- Curran, James; Iyengar, Shanto; Lund, Anker B.; Salovaara-Moring, Inka** (2009). "Media system, public knowledge and democracy: A comparative study". *European journal of communication*, v. 24, n. 1, pp. 5-26.
<https://ppl.stanford.edu/research/2008/curran-mediasystems.pdf>
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0267323108098943>
- Dahl, Robert A.** (1998). *On democracy*. New Haven: Yale University Press. ISBN: 0300084552
- De-Tocqueville, Alexis** (2000). *Democracy in America*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. ISBN: 978 0226805368
- Dewey, John** (1966). *Democracy and education: An introduction to the philosophy of education*. New York: The Free Press.
- Domingo, David; Masip Pere; Micó-Sanz, Josep-Lluís** (2008). "Jumping on the bandwagon: Innovation discourses and practices in the Catalan public broadcasting corporation". In: *II ECREA Conference*, Barcelona.
- Doval, Montserrat** (2014). "Spanish and Portuguese journalists on Twitter: best practices, interactions and most frequent behaviors". *Observatorio*, v. 8, n. 3, pp. 169-182.
<http://obs.obercom.pt/index.php/obs/article/view/730>
- Downs, Anthony** (1962). "The public interest: Its meaning in a democracy". *Social research*, v. 29, n. 1, pp. 1-36.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/40969578>
- Fenton, Natalie** (2014). "Defending whose democracy? Media freedom and media power". *Nordicom review*, v. 35, special issue, pp. 31-43.
<http://www.nordicom.gu.se/sites/default/files/kapitel-pdf/fenton.pdf>
- García-Avilés, José-Alberto** (2014). "Online newsrooms as communities of practice: Exploring digital journalist's applied ethics". *Journal of mass media ethics: Exploring questions of media morality*, v. 29, n. 4, pp. 258-272.
<http://gicov.umh.es/files/2014/11/Online-Newsrooms-Ethics-Garcia-Avil%C3%A9s.pdf>
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08900523.2014.946600>
- García-Carretero, Lucía; Codina, Lluís; Díaz-Noci, Javier; Iglesias-García, Mar** (2016). "Herramientas e indicadores SEO: Características y aplicación al análisis de cibermedios". *El profesional de la información*, v. 25, n. 3, pp. 497-504.
<https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2016.may.19>
- Habermas, Jürgen** (1990). *Strukturwandel der Öffentlichkeit: Untersuchungen zu einer Kategorie der bürgerlichen Gesellschaft*. Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp. ISBN: 3518580639
- Holcomb, Jesse; Gross, Kim; Mitchell, Amy** (2011). "How mainstream media outlets use Twitter". *Pew Internet Research Center*, Nov. 14.
http://www.journalism.org/analysis_report/how_mainstream_media_outlets_use_twitter
- Hoskins, Collin; McFadyen, Stuart; Finn, Adam** (2004). *Media economics: Applying economics to new and traditional media*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications. ISBN: 978 0761930669
- Jefferson, Thomas** (1984). *Writings*. New York: Literary Classics of the U.S. Viking Press. ISBN: 978 0940450165
- Jones, Steve** (ed.) (1998). *Doing internet research: Critical issues and methods for examining the Net*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications. ISBN: 978 0761915959
- Kaushik, Avinash** (2010). *Web analytics 2.0: The art of online accountability & science of customer centricity*. New Jersey: Wiley. ISBN: 978 0470529393
- Krippendorff, Klaus** (2012). *Content analysis: An introduction to its methodology*. London: Sage. ISBN: 978 1412983150
- Kovach, Bill; Rosenstiel, Tom** (2007). *The elements of journalism: what newspeople should know and the public should expect*. New York: Three Rivers Press. ISBN: 978 0307346704
- Lasorsa, Dominic L.; Lewis, Seth C.; Holton, Avery E.** (2011). "Normalizing Twitter: Journalism practice in an emerging communication space". *Journalism studies*, v. 13, n. 1, pp. 19-36.
<http://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/123293>
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670X.2011.571825>
- Lippmann, Walter** (1995). *Liberty and the news*. New Brunswick, New Jersey: Transaction Publishers. ISBN: 978 0691134802
- Lippmann, Walter** (1997). *Public opinion*. New York: Free Press Paperbacks. ISBN: 978 0684833279
- Masip, Pere; Díaz-Noci, Javier; Domingo, David; Micó-Sanz, Josep-Lluís; Salaverría, Ramón** (2010). "Investigación internacional sobre ciberperiodismo: hipertexto, interactividad,

multimedia y convergencia". *El profesional de la información*, v. 19, n. 6, pp. 568-576.

<https://doi.org/10.3145/epi.2010.nov.02>

McChesney, Robert W. (1999). *Rich media, poor democracy: Communication politics in dubious times*. Illinois: University of Illinois Press. ISBN: 978 0252024481

McChesney, Robert W. (2000). "So much for the magic of technology and the free market". In: Herman, Andrew; Swiss, Thomas (eds.) *The world wide web and contemporary cultural theory: Magic, metaphor, power*. New York: Routledge, pp. 5-36. ISBN: 0415925029

McChesney, Robert W.; Wood, Ellen M.; Foster, John B. (eds.) (1998). *Capitalism and the information age. The political economy of the global communication revolution*. New York: Monthly Review Press. ISBN: 978 0853459897

McCombs, Maxwell (2004). *Setting the agenda: The mass media and public opinion*. Cambridge: Polity Press. ISBN: 978 0745623122

McQuail, Denis (1998). *La acción de los medios: los medios de comunicación y el interés público*. Buenos Aires: Amorrortu. ISBN: 978 9505186501

Noelle-Neumann, Elisabeth (1986). *The Spiral of silence: public opinion - our social skin*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. ISBN: 978 0226589367

Olmstead, Kenneth; Mitchell, Amy; Rosenstiel, Tom (2011). "Navigating news online: here people go, how they get there and what lures them away". *Pew Research Center*, May 9.
http://www.journalism.org/analysis_report/navigating_news_online

Oriella PR Network (2012). *The influence game: How news is sourced and managed today. Global digital journalism study 2013*. Oriella PR Network.
<http://journalismdegree.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/Oriella-Digital-Journalism-Study-2012-Final-US-copy.pdf>

Parasie, Sylvain; Dagiral, Éric (2013). "Data-driven journalism and the public good: 'Computer-assisted-reporters' and 'programmer-journalists'". *New media & society*, v. 15, n. 6, pp. 853-871.
<https://goo.gl/9foLQd>
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444812463345>

Sieber, Sam D. (1973). "The integration of fieldwork and

survey methods". *American journal of sociology*, v. 78, n. 6, pp. 1335-1359.

<http://personal.psc.isr.umich.edu/yuxie-web/files/pubs/Articles/Seiber1973.pdf>

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2776390>

Siebert, Fred S.; Peterson, Theodore; Schramm, Wilbur (1963). *Four theories of the press*. Chicago: Illini Books, University of Illinois Press. ISBN: 978 0252724213

Singer, Jane B. (2004). "Strange bedfellows? The diffusion of convergence in four news organizations". *Journalism studies*, v. 5, n. 1, pp. 3-18.

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/3465>

<https://doi.org/10.1080/1461670032000174701>

Sparrow, Bartholomew H. (1999). *Uncertain guardians: the news media as a political institution*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University. ISBN: 978 0801860362

Tandoc, Edson (2014). "Journalism is twerking? How web analytics is changing the process of gatekeeping". *New media and society*, v. 4, n. 16, pp. 559-575.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444814530541>

Tandoc, Edson; Thomas, Ryan (2015). "The ethics of web analytics: Implications of using audience metrics in news construction". *Digital journalism*, v. 3, n. 2, pp. 243-258.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2014.909122>

Taylor, Steven J.; Bogdan, Robert (1998). *Introduction to qualitative research methods: The search for meaning*. New Jersey: Wiley. ISBN: 978 0471168683


Templar, Richard (2001). *Investigar en internet*. Madrid: Prentice Hall. ISBN: 978 8420531823

Tuchman, Gaye (1978). *Making news: A study in the construction of reality*. New York: TheFreePress. ISBN: 978 0029329306

Warren, Carl (1959). *Modern news reporting*. New York: Harper & Row Publishers.

Wimmer, Robert D.; Dominick, Joseph R. (2011). *Mass media research: An introduction*. Boston, Massachusetts: Cengage-Wadsworth. ISBN: 978 1439082744

Wrench, Jason S.; Thomas-Maddox, Candice; Richmond, Virginia P.; McCroskey, James C. (2008). *Quantitative research methods for communication: A hands-on approach*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, Inc. ISBN: 978 0195337471



El profesional de la
información


Bienvenido a EPI Indexada por ISI y Scopus
ISSN 1386-6710 / ISSN-e 1699-2407
Revista internacional, científica y profesional

<http://www.elprofesionaldelainformacion.com>

Revista internacional de
Información y Comunicación
indexada por ISI Social Sciences Citation Index (Q3),
Scopus (Q2) y otras bases de datos

Factor de impacto JCR:
IF 2015 = 0,710

Scopus/SCImago Journal Rank:
SJR 2015 = 0,422



Presentación del Director

<http://www.elprofesionaldelainformacion.com>

<http://recyt.fecyt.es/index.php/EPI>



Digitalización enriquecida
de fondo antiguo y patrimonial

Software de gestión para
Bibliotecas, Archivos y Museos

DIGIBIB®

DIGIARCH®

DIGIMUS®

Recolector OAI-PMH DIGIHUB®
de metadatos de diversos proveedores



DIGIBÍS transforma
y enriquece las bibliotecas
con aplicaciones de gestión
digital de nueva generación.



El usuario accede
fácilmente a los recursos
de la biblioteca desde cualquier
lugar, en cualquier momento,
con cualquier dispositivo.



La biblioteca es
recolectada automáticamente
por Hispana, Europea
y otros agregadores
internacionales.



¡Con estándares internacionales
para un **mundo enlazado y abierto!**